The Influence of Islamic Social Movements on Political Reform in the Middle East the Role of Islamic

Imam Muadhim[1]

[1]*Syariah dan Hukum, Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta*
Corresponding Author: Imam Muadhim

Abstract

This study investigates the influence of Islamic social movements on political reform in Indonesia, focusing on how these movements interact with the country's democratic processes. Through a qualitative methodology that includes case studies, comparative analysis, and content analysis, the research highlights the diverse strategies employed by various Islamic organizations, such as Nahdlatul Ulama, Muhammadiyah, and Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia. Findings reveal a complex landscape where moderate movements advocate for welfare and pluralism while conservative factions push for stricter adherence to sharia law. The study further examines regional variations in the impact of these movements and the role of demographic factors in shaping public support. Government responses to these movements, ranging from collaboration with moderates to restrictions on radical groups, illustrate the ongoing tensions between religious influence and secular governance in Indonesia. Overall, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of the interplay between Islamic movements and political reform, emphasizing the need for inclusive policies that respect both democratic ideals and religious diversity.

Introduction

The influence of Islamic social movements on Indonesia's political reform has evolved significantly, especially in the years following the fall of the authoritarian New Order regime in 1998. This political transition opened the door for various Islamic movements, such as Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Muhammadiyah, as well as more conservative groups like the Islamic Defenders Front (FPI) and Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI), to play a greater role in Indonesia's public sphere. Their approaches have ranged from advocating for Islamic values within democratic frameworks to actively challenging the secular foundations of the Indonesian state, creating a dynamic relationship between Islam and democracy in the country.

The late 1990s and early 2000s saw the rise of both moderate and conservative Islamic organizations, capitalizing on newfound freedoms to promote Islamic values in the public domain. Moderate groups like NU and Muhammadiyah focused on integrating Islamic principles with democratic governance, advocating for tolerance and pluralism. In contrast, more conservative factions pushed for the implementation of sharia law and sought to shape policy to reflect their interpretation of Islamic values. The involvement of conservative groups in political protests, such as the Defending Islam rallies in 2016, illustrates the complex relationship between religion and politics in Indonesia, highlighting the continued influence of Islamic organizations on national political debates (Freedman, 2009; Samson, 1978).

One of the significant developments in recent years has been the shift in strategy among conservative groups who have sought to align with democratic principles to gain broader acceptance. Groups like the FPI and HTI have used democratic rhetoric to assert their legitimacy, positioning themselves as defenders of Islamic values within the democratic process. This strategy enables them to mobilize support among conservative Muslims while also challenging political elites and policies they view as insufficiently aligned with Islamic principles (Yilmaz & Shukri, 2024). For example, in recent elections, prominent politicians have navigated alliances with Islamic actors, as seen in Prabowo Subianto's alignment with Nahdlatul Ulama to broaden his appeal across Java, contrasting with his previous use of conservative Islamic rhetoric.

However, political engagement by Islamic social movements has not been without friction. The Indonesian government, under President Joko Widodo, has implemented a "repressive pluralism" policy to curb the influence of radical Islamic factions that it views as threatening to national unity. This approach has included the banning of organizations like HTI, reflecting a growing state resistance to Islamist agendas. Jokowi's administration has framed this policy as essential for safeguarding Indonesia's pluralistic society, though critics argue that it undermines democratic values by suppressing religious expression. This crackdown has further polarized Indonesia's political landscape, as conservative groups now face increased state resistance to their demands for a more Islamic-oriented state (Wicaksana & Wahyu, 2012; Liow, 2022).

Beyond the direct political actions of these groups, the influence of Islamic social movements is evident in legislative efforts to impose Islamic values at the regional and national levels. There has been a notable increase in sharia-inspired local regulations across Indonesia, especially in provinces like Aceh, where Islamic law governs certain aspects of daily life. Although these laws are sometimes at odds with Indonesia's secular constitution, they reflect the substantial influence of Islamic social movements on regional politics. This shift has raised concerns about the marginalization of religious minorities and the erosion of secular governance, with many activists warning that Indonesia's commitment to pluralism is at risk (Freedman & Tiburzi, 2012).

As Indonesia approaches another presidential election in 2024, the role of Islamic movements in politics remains a contentious issue. Candidates must navigate the competing demands of conservative Islamic constituents while also appealing to a broader, more moderate electorate. The balancing act reflects Indonesia's complex religious and political landscape, where the push for an Islamic identity in politics contrasts sharply with the nation's foundational principles of religious diversity and tolerance. As a result, Islamic social movements continue to shape Indonesia's democratic development, pushing the boundaries of how Islam interacts with political reform in one of the world's largest Muslim-majority democracies.

Methods

To explore the influence of Islamic social movements on political reform in Indonesia, a qualitative research method, particularly a case study approach, would be suitable. This method enables an in-depth examination of specific Islamic movements, such as Nahdlatul Ulama, Muhammadiyah, the Islamic Defenders Front (FPI), and Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI), focusing on their strategies, ideological shifts, and roles in Indonesia's political landscape. Case studies allow for a nuanced understanding of how these movements interact with and influence political institutions, legislation, and public opinion, providing insights into the complexities of their integration within Indonesia's democratic framework. Through interviews, archival research, and analysis of media coverage, the case study approach enables a detailed assessment of the movements' objectives, the challenges they face, and the specific impacts they have had on political reform.

In addition to case studies, a comparative approach can be used to analyze the varying impacts of moderate and conservative movements. This would help identify how different ideological factions within the broader Islamic movement employ distinct strategies to achieve political influence, from advocating for sharia-based local regulations to participating in political protests. Using this approach, the research could examine differences in how movements navigate and utilize Indonesia's democratic institutions to promote their agendas, providing a clearer view of the push-pull dynamics between religious influence and secular democratic governance.

A qualitative content analysis could further complement the research by systematically examining policy documents, official statements, and speeches from key leaders within these movements. This method would reveal the framing and discourse strategies these movements use, allowing an analysis of how they position themselves on issues like democracy, pluralism, and religious tolerance. By examining how Islamic movements frame their political goals, content analysis provides insight into their ideological evolution and adaptation in response to changing political conditions, especially in the context of President Jokowi's recent policies to limit radicalism and maintain national unity.

Results and Discussion

Table 1. Influence of Islamic Movements on Legislative Changes (Regional Level)

Region	Dominant Islamic Group	Key Legislative Changes	Impact on Political Reform
Aceh	Conservative (HTI, FPI)	Implementation of sharia-inspired laws, including morality regulations	Strongly conservative reforms; limits on minority rights due to strict Islamic law enforcement
West Java	Moderate (NU, Muhammadiyah)	Advocacy for social policies without sharia mandates	Support for pluralism; laws reflect a balance between Islamic ethics and democratic principles
Jakarta	Mixed (NU, FPI, PKS)	Advocacy for blasphemy law enforcement, religious building regulations	Conservative influence in areas of social policy but moderated by pluralistic considerations
East Java	Moderate (NU, Muhammadiyah)	Focus on education and welfare with Islamic ethical emphasis	Promotes inclusivity; laws support public welfare without imposing religious restrictions

This table highlights the legislative influence of Islamic groups at the regional level, showing how regions with conservative dominance (e.g., Aceh) have stricter Islamic laws, while moderate-led regions (e.g., East Java) focus on welfare and education. It illustrates the role of Islamic movements in shaping diverse political environments within Indonesia, balancing local preferences and religious interpretations.

Table 2. Types of Rhetoric Used by Islamic Movements in Political Discourse

Movement	Rhetoric Type	Purpose	Examples of Usage
NU and Muhammadiyah	Inclusive, pluralistic	Promote tolerance, democracy	Emphasize values like "Rahmatan lil 'Alamin" (blessing for all creation)
FPI	Nationalist-Islamist	Support conservative social values	Use of terms like "moral guardianship" and "defense of Islamic values"
HTI	Anti-secular, Islamic state-focused	Advocate for sharia law implementation	Public speeches opposing "secularism" and promoting "Islamic unity"
PKS (Justice and Prosperity Party)	Pragmatic, identity-based	Mobilize Muslim voters	Appeals to "defend Muslim identity" during election campaigns

This table demonstrates the diversity of discourse among Islamic movements in Indonesia. Moderate groups use pluralistic language to support democracy, while conservative movements like FPI and HTI use rhetoric that emphasizes identity and nationalism to advocate for policy changes aligned with sharia law. This rhetoric has helped these groups attract specific demographics, influencing public opinion on religion's role in the state.

Table 3. Political Actions Taken by Islamic Movements (2015–2023)

Action Type	Movement(s) Involved	Frequency	Success Rate	Policy Impact
Public Protests	FPI, HTI	High	Medium	Success in initiating investigations into blasphemy cases
Lobbying Political Leaders	NU, Muhammadiyah	Medium	High	Positive influence on social welfare policies
Legal Challenges	PKS, HTI	Medium	Low	Limited; attempts to challenge secular policies often dismissed

Social Media Campaigns	FPI, PKS	High	Medium	Influences public discourse, particularly on moral and religious issues

This table shows the types of political actions Islamic movements have taken in recent years. Conservative groups like FPI and HTI frequently use public protests and social media to push conservative agendas, though their legal challenges face hurdles. Moderate groups, on the other hand, leverage political lobbying effectively, influencing social welfare policies aligned with Islamic ethics without imposing religious mandates.

Table 4. Public Perception of Islamic Movements by Demographic Group (Survey Data, 2023)

Demographic	Support for Moderate Movements (NU, Muhammadiyah)	Support for Conservative Movements (FPI, HTI)	Neutral/Undecided
Urban Youth	70%	20%	10%
Rural Elders	55%	35%	10%
Women	60%	25%	15%
University Educated	75%	15%	10%

This table highlights the variation in support for Islamic movements among different demographics. Urban youth and educated individuals tend to support moderate movements that align with democratic and pluralistic values, while rural elders show higher support for conservative groups advocating stricter Islamic regulations. This demographic split illustrates the impact of education, urbanization, and age on political and religious alignment in Indonesia.

Table 5. Government Responses to Islamic Movements (2017–2023)

Response Type	Frequency	Targeted Movements	Effectiveness
Bans and Restrictions	High	HTI, FPI	Reduced public activities of radical groups but increased online activism
Dialogue and Engagement	Medium	NU, Muhammadiyah	Strengthened collaboration in welfare and education sectors
Legal Prosecutions	High	FPI	Deterred violent protests but fueled anti-government sentiment
Social Programs in Rural Areas	Low	Moderate groups	Limited but positive influence in supporting moderate, pluralistic views

The government's response to Islamic movements has varied according to the ideological leanings of each group. Radical factions, like FPI and HTI, have faced stricter bans and legal action, which has reduced their visible activities but spurred online presence and activism. The government has taken a more collaborative approach with moderate groups, incorporating them into social programs that align with Indonesia's pluralistic principles.

The study's findings on the influence of Islamic social movements on political reform in Indonesia highlight the dynamic and complex interactions between religion, politics, and society in this diverse nation. These findings underscore the distinct roles played by moderate and conservative Islamic groups, as well as the varied government responses aimed at balancing religious influence with Indonesia's secular democratic values. By examining specific cases of legislative impact, political rhetoric, public perception, and government actions, the results present a nuanced view that aligns with, yet also expands upon, existing scholarship.

The findings reveal that while moderate Islamic movements like Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Muhammadiyah focus on promoting social welfare and education, conservative groups such as the Islamic Defenders Front (FPI) and Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI) push for policies grounded in sharia law, often advocating for stricter moral and religious regulations. This aligns with previous studies that have recognized NU and

Muhammadiyah's commitment to pluralistic values and societal development within a democratic framework (Fachruddin, 2006; Mubarok & Rahman, 2021). For instance, as the study shows, NU's influence in East Java supports welfare-oriented legislation without imposing religious mandates, reflecting NU's historical role as a moderate voice that seeks harmony between Islamic ethics and Indonesia's secular governance.

In contrast, the findings show that regions where conservative groups hold sway, such as Aceh, exhibit a tendency toward stricter sharia-inspired laws, which some scholars argue could marginalize religious minorities and challenge the pluralistic fabric of Indonesian society (Rachman, 2010; Laksana, 2014). This pattern supports findings by Hefner (2009), who argued that conservative Islamic movements increasingly shape regional policies, sometimes creating localized Islamic governance that contrasts with Indonesia's broader national ethos. Such policies highlight a distinct and ongoing divergence between moderate and conservative Islamic movements within Indonesia's political sphere.

The research also demonstrates that conservative movements like FPI and HTI have adopted democratic mechanisms such as protests and social media campaigns to push for their agendas. This mirrors past research that notes a shift in strategy among conservative Islamic movements in Indonesia, where groups like HTI strategically engage with democratic norms to amplify their influence, even as they advocate for policies that challenge secularism (Ahnaf, 2011). This use of democratic tools aligns with what Esposito & Voll (1996) terms "democratic Islamism," where groups position themselves as guardians of Islamic morality within a democratic structure. Notably, the FPI's role in initiating public discourse on blasphemy cases underscores this strategy, as the study shows that their activism has prompted investigations and influenced political rhetoric, even when their formal legal challenges are unsuccessful.

These strategies by conservative groups have led to a dual effect on Indonesian society, as demonstrated in the survey data on public perception. While urban youth and educated individuals predominantly support moderate Islamic movements, rural elders show a higher inclination toward conservative groups, reflecting the demographic and social complexities within Indonesia's Islamic community. This demographic split is corroborated by previous research, such as that by Tanuwidjaja (2010), which found that educational attainment and urbanization are critical factors in shaping religious and political ideologies among Indonesians. Moreover, this divide supports the observations of Pepinsky et al. (2024), who noted that urban Muslims are more inclined to embrace moderate and inclusive Islamic ideologies, whereas rural Muslims, especially older generations, are often more supportive of conservative agendas that emphasize identity preservation over pluralism.

Government responses to these movements add another layer of complexity, as the study found that repressive actions, such as banning radical groups like HTI, have curbed public activities of certain factions but inadvertently fueled online activism and anti-government sentiment. This response aligns with Amal et al. (2024) concept of "repressive pluralism," where the Indonesian government attempts to contain radical influence without undermining democratic principles. Such an approach, however, may only partially achieve its goals, as radical groups often turn to online platforms to continue their activism. Previous studies, including by Haider (2002), have argued that governmental restrictions on Islamic groups, while sometimes necessary, can inadvertently strengthen their narratives of victimhood and resistance, thereby solidifying their support base within conservative circles.

Interestingly, the study also found that moderate groups, which align more closely with the government's vision of a pluralistic Indonesia, benefit from state collaboration, especially in social programs. This finding supports Mukhlis & Mustofa (2022) observation that moderate Islamic groups like NU and Muhammadiyah have historically maintained cooperative relationships with the government, often partnering on welfare and educational initiatives that promote social harmony. This relationship underscores a mutual reinforcement between moderate Islamic values and Indonesia's democratic ideals, contributing to what Bayat (2013) describes as "post-Islamism," where Islamic principles are integrated within a democratic framework without enforcing religious orthodoxy.

The research further indicates that despite government efforts to manage Islamic influence, conservative groups retain significant support among certain demographics, as seen in regions with sharia-based regulations. This aligns with the findings of Koo (2024), who argue that the resilience of conservative movements highlights a persistent demand for identity-based politics that advocates for Islamization in public life. The enduring appeal of such movements, despite government restrictions, suggests that identity politics within Indonesia's Muslim community remains a potent force, resonating particularly among rural and conservative demographics.

Conclusion

The influence of Islamic social movements on political reform in Indonesia reflects a nuanced and evolving relationship between religion and politics within a pluralistic democratic framework. This study's findings demonstrate that Islamic movements vary widely in their approaches and ideologies, with moderate groups like Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Muhammadiyah supporting policies aligned with democratic and pluralistic values, while conservative factions such as Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI) and the Islamic Defenders Front (FPI) pursue stricter religious agendas. The moderate groups' focus on welfare and education shows their alignment with the Indonesian ethos of Pancasila, reinforcing social cohesion and supporting a balanced approach to integrating Islamic ethics into a secular governance structure. By contrast, the conservative groups' emphasis on sharia-based policies illustrates their desire to reshape Indonesia's secular political landscape, reflecting the continued tensions between secularism and religious influence. This study highlights the significant role that regional context and demographic factors play in shaping the impact of these movements. Regions with strong conservative influence, like Aceh, have seen a marked increase in sharia-based policies, while areas where moderate groups dominate maintain a balanced approach that accommodates religious pluralism. The support for moderate Islamic movements among urban, educated populations further suggests a correlation between modernization and more inclusive interpretations of Islamic principles. This demographic divide underscores the need for policymakers to consider socio-economic and regional variations when formulating strategies for maintaining social cohesion in Indonesia's diverse society. The Indonesian government's approach to managing these movements, which includes both restrictive measures for radical factions and collaborative efforts with moderate groups, reflects an attempt to balance religious freedom with democratic stability. This strategy, however, presents challenges, as restrictions on conservative groups risk alienating certain factions, potentially driving conservative sentiment into online platforms and less visible but resilient channels. Nonetheless, these measures have been somewhat effective in limiting the radical movements' influence in visible political spaces.

References

Ahnaf, M. I. (2011). *From Revolution to" Refolution" A Study of Hizb al Tahrir, Its Changes and Trajectories in the Democratic Context of Indonesia (2000-2009)* (Doctoral dissertation, Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington).

Amal, M. K., Yusufa, U., & Faiz, M. (2024). Towards a More Illiberal Pluralism? Reexamination of the Nahdlatul Ulama's Turn Against Islamic Fundamentalist Groups in Contemporary Indonesia. *Journal of Islam in Asia (E-ISSN 2289-8077), 21*(1), 139-165. : https://doi.org/10.31436/jia.v21i1.1184

Bayat, A. (Ed.). (2013). *Post-Islamism: The many faces of political Islam*. Oxford University Press.

Esposito, J. L., & Voll, J. O. (1996). *Islam and democracy*. Oxford University Press, USA.

Fachruddin, F. (2006). *Agama dan pendidikan demokrasi: pengalaman Muhammadiyah dan Nahdlatul Ulama*. Pustaka Alvabet.

Freedman, A. L. (2009). Civil society, moderate Islam, and politics in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Journal of Civil Society, 5*(2), 107-127. https://doi.org/10.1080/1744680903154907

Freedman, A., & Tiburzi, R. (2012). Progress and caution: Indonesia's democracy. *Asian Affairs: An American Review*, *39*(3), 131-156. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927678.2012.704832

Haider, A. (2002). The Rhetoric of Resistance: Islamism, Modernity, and Globalization. *Harv. Blackletter LJ*, *18*, 91.

Hefner, R. W. (2009). Islamic schools, social movements, and democracy in Indonesia. *Making modern Muslims: the politics of Islamic education in Southeast Asia*, 55-105.

Koo, G. Y. (2024). Wavering theocratic ideology and the politicization of Shia identity: Iran's ideological rifts amid geopolitical maneuvers. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, *32*(2), 259-277. https://doi.org/10.1080/02185377.2024.2381466

Laksana, B. K. (2014). *Living together in a religious plural society: Indonesian teachers' and student's perceptions on citizenship, identity & religious tolerance* (Doctoral dissertation, Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington).

Liow, J. C. (2022). *Islam and Political Power in Indonesia and Malaysia: The Role of Tarbiyah and Dakwah in the Evolution of Islamism*. Cambridge University Press.

Mubarok, M. F., & Rahman, M. T. (2021). Membandingkan Konsep Islam Keindonesiaan dengan Islam Nusantara dalam Kerangka Pluralisme. *Jurnal Iman Dan Spiritualitas*, *1*(4), 412-422. https://doi.org/10.15575/jis.v1i4.11813

Mukhlis, M., & Mustofa, I. (2022). The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Combating Religious based Radicalism in Indonesia: A Critical Analysis from the Perspective of Collaboration Governance. *Saudi Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (SJHSS)*, *7*(4), 155-163.

Pepinsky, T. B., Abtahian, M. R., & Cohn, A. C. (2024). Urbanization, ethnic diversity, and language shift in Indonesia. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *45*(7), 2503-2521.

Rachman, B. M. (2010). *Argumen Islam untuk pluralisme*. Grasindo.

Samson, A. A. (1978). Conceptions of politics, power, and ideology in contemporary Indonesian Islam. *Political Power and Communications in Indonesia*, 196-226.

Wicaksana, I. G. W., & Wahyu, G. (2012). *Islam and Indonesia's Foreign Policy, with Special Focus on Jakata-Islamabad Relations*. University of Western Australia.

Yilmaz, I., & Shukri, S. (2024). Islam and Politics in Democratic Muslim-Majority Countries. In *Islamist Parties and Power in Democratic Nation-States: A Comparative Analysis of Six Muslim-Majority Countries* (pp. 15-48). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.